



# Transition metal doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxide catalysts for ozone decomposition

Jin Zhu Ma <sup>a,b,c</sup>, Caixia Wang <sup>a</sup>, Hong He <sup>a,b,c,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100085, China

<sup>b</sup> Center for Excellence in Regional Atmospheric Environment, Institute of Urban Environment, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xiamen 361021, China

<sup>c</sup> University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China



## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 25 May 2016

Received in revised form 1 August 2016

Accepted 22 August 2016

Available online 22 August 2016

### Keywords:

Octahedral molecular sieve (OMS)

Manganese dioxide

Cryptomelane

Transition metal-doped MnO<sub>2</sub>

Ozone decomposition

## ABSTRACT

Transition metal (Cerium, Cobalt, and Iron) doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxide (M-OMS-2) catalysts have been successfully synthesized and characterized. The different metal-ion-doped K-OMS-2 catalysts showed distinct differences in their ozone decomposition activity. Cerium-doped OMS-2 materials showed ozone conversion of 90% under RH = 90% and space velocity of 600,000 h<sup>-1</sup>. X-ray diffraction (XRD), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) data suggested that the as-synthesized M-OMS-2 materials were all crystalline with no segregated metal oxide impurities. ICP-OES and XAFS results confirmed that Co<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> replace Mn<sup>3+</sup> in the cryptomelane structure and Ce<sup>4+</sup> mainly replaces the K<sup>+</sup> in the tunnel and partially replaces the Mn<sup>4+</sup> in the framework of the cryptomelane structure. Because of the differences in the substitution sites and the ionic radius of dopants, the morphologies of the catalysts were different. The Mn<sup>3+</sup> content and number of surface defects play a key role during the decomposition of ozone. Ce-OMS-2 is a promising catalyst for purifying waste gases containing ozone under high-humidity conditions.

© 2016 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

As an effective oxidant, ozone is widely used in purification of waste and natural water, as well as polluted air [1–3]. Nevertheless, the off gas from the oxidation process still contains some amount of ozone that is often far beyond the admissible levels. High concentrations of ozone can cause health problems to human beings including neurological diseases, increased frequency of respiratory symptoms and reduced immune system function, and its release into the environment must be avoided [4]. According to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) regulations, the allowable exposure to ozone in terms of eight-hour average concentration is 80 ppb, and the Chinese 'indoor air quality standards' (GB/T 18883-2002) require that the indoor ozone concentration should not exceed 0.07 ppm [5]. Therefore, research on the decomposition of ozone is important from the point of view of environmental protection and public health.

Because it is safe, economical and efficient, catalytic decomposition is the most effective method for purifying waste gases containing ozone. Among the developed catalysts, manganese oxide catalysts are known to be among the most effective and economical for ozone decomposition [6]. Compared with pure manganese oxides, mixed manganese–metal oxides seem to have better activity due to the interaction of the different metals [7]. However, at high relative humidity (RH), the activity of Mn oxide-based catalysts is diminished. Water vapor has a severe influence on catalytic performance in ozone decomposition, with H<sub>2</sub>O molecules competing with ozone for adsorption on the oxide surface, leading to a decrease in catalytic activity [8]. The addition of Pd or Ag can help the catalysts resist water and slow down the reduction in activity due to humidity [9,10], but the high cost of noble metals limits their widespread application.

Manganese oxide octahedral molecular sieve (OMS) materials, especially the cryptomelane-type OMS material OMS-2, are environmentally benign and relatively cheap, and have found a wide range of applications in the field of catalysis [11–14]. In our previous study, an OMS-2 catalyst synthesized using MnAc<sub>2</sub> as Mn<sup>2+</sup> precursor showed the best catalytic activity for ozone decomposition (~75%) at RH = 90% compared to catalysts made with other precursors, and the increased surface area and higher amount of Mn<sup>3+</sup>

\* Corresponding author at: State Key Joint Laboratory of Environment Simulation and Pollution Control, Research Center for Eco-Environmental Sciences, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, 100085, China.

E-mail address: [honghe@rcees.ac.cn](mailto:honghe@rcees.ac.cn) (H. He).

were the main factors contributing to the excellent performance of OMS-2-Ac [15]. It also has been reported that the structure, morphology, valence of manganese species, and lattice parameters of OMS-2 can be tuned through single or/and multiple substitution of tunnel K<sup>+</sup> ions and framework Mn ions by a variety of metal ions, such as Cu<sup>2+</sup>, Zn<sup>2+</sup>, Ni<sup>2+</sup>, Co<sup>2+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup>, Ti<sup>4+</sup>, Ce<sup>4+</sup>, W<sup>6+</sup>, V<sup>5+</sup> and Nb<sup>5+</sup> [11,16–28]. For example, Hu et al. [16] found that transition metal-modified OMS-2 catalysts had high surface area, more oxygen vacancies and more surface-bonded OH groups compared with undoped OMS-2, which increased the catalytic removal of aqueous phenol. Tang et al. [20] indicated that the catalytic activity toward CO oxidation at ambient temperature for Ti-OMS-2 showed a correlation with the surface area, the morphology and the titanium content. Wang et al. [29] found that the morphology of MnO<sub>x</sub> play a key role for the decomposition of ozone on MnO<sub>x</sub>/AC catalysts. However, to the best of our knowledge, the use of transition metal doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxide (M-OMS-2) materials for ozone decomposition has not been reported. In this study, a series of metal-modified (Cerium, Cobalt, and Iron) OMS-2 materials were synthesized and tested for ozone decomposition under high relative humidity, and the relationship between the structure and the catalytic performance as well as the effect of additional metals was explored.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Preparation of catalysts

The OMS-2 materials were prepared by reaction between Mn<sup>2+</sup> and potassium permanganate via a hydrothermal method, which was described in detail in our previous work [15]. During the synthesis of transition metal doped OMS-2, the nominal weight ratio of transition metal (Ce, Co and Fe) to Mn for every sample was controlled at 0.125. Cerium-modified OMS-2 catalysts (Ce-OMS-2) were prepared via a one-step hydrothermal synthesis. The detailed procedure is as follows: 3.668 g of MnAc<sub>2</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O and 0.623 g Ce(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O were dissolved in deionized water under stirring. 2.5 mL glacial acetic acid was then added in order to form an acidic environment. Next a solution of 2.168 g KMnO<sub>4</sub> in deionized water was added to the above mixture under stirring. Finally, the mixed solution was maintained at 100 °C for 24 h in a Teflon-lined autoclave and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting black slurry was centrifuged, washed by deionized water and dried at 100 °C overnight. The synthesis of Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 followed the above procedure with the same transition metal to Mn mass ratio. The α-MnO<sub>2</sub> catalysts (confirmed by XRD in Fig. S1) were prepared for reference by a hydrothermal method similar with our previous work [30].

### 2.2. Catalyst characterization

The crystalline structure of the catalysts was determined by a powder X-ray diffractometer (XRD; X'Pert PRO, PANalytical, Netherlands) using Cu Kα ( $\lambda = 0.154\text{ }06\text{ nm}$ ) radiation at 40 kV and 40 mA with the step size of 0.065°(2θ).

Thermal analysis was determined on TGA/DSC1 STAR<sup>e</sup> system (METTLER TOLEDO) at a heating rate of 10 °C/min from 30 °C to 900 °C under 50 mL/min flowing nitrogen.

For the morphology study, the OMS-2 materials were investigated using a SU8000 field emission scanning electron microscope (FESEM), and the accelerating voltage was 3000 V. Before FE-SEM measurements, the samples were prepared by depositing the powder on a conductive tape using N2 vertical purging.

A physisorption analyzer (Autosorb-1C-TCD, Quantachrome) was used to measure the surface area and pore structure (vol-

ume and size) of the catalysts by N<sub>2</sub> adsorption–desorption at 77 K. All samples were degassed at 90 °C for 12 h to remove the physisorbed moisture before the N<sub>2</sub> adsorption. The surface area (S<sub>BET</sub>) was determined by applying the Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) method to the adsorption isotherm in the partial pressure range of 0.05–0.35. The pore volume (V<sub>BJH</sub>) and the pore diameter (D<sub>BJH</sub>) were determined by the Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) equation from the desorption isotherm.

The X-ray absorption near edge structure (XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) of the Mn-K edge in the OMS-2 catalysts were measured in transmission mode on the 1W1B beam line, Beijing Synchrotron Radiation Facility and BL14W1 beamline, Shanghai Synchrotron Radiation Facility. Data evaluation was carried out with IFEFFIT 1.2.11. XANES data were normalized by edge height and then the first-order derivatives were taken to compare the variation of absorption edge energies. EXAFS oscillation  $\chi(k)$  was extracted using spline smoothing with a Cook-Sayers criterion, and the filtered  $k^3$ -weighted  $\chi(k)$  was Fourier transformed into R space in the  $k$  range of 3–12 Å<sup>−1</sup>. In the curve-fitting step, the backscattering amplitude and phase shift were calculated using FFF8.4 code.

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) results of the catalysts were recorded on a Scanning X-ray Microprobe (AXIS Ultra, Kratos Analytical, Inc.). Binding energy was calibrated with C1s = 284.8 eV.

Elemental analysis was conducted using an inductively coupled plasma emission spectrometer (ICP-OES). All samples were dissolved using strong acid solution before being tested. The calibration solution was prepared using pure materials. The average of three atomic emission lines was used to determine the metal contents in the catalysts.

### 2.3. Catalyst activity for ozone decomposition

The ozone decomposition texts were conducted in a fixed bed continuous flow quartz reactor (4 mm i.d.) at temperature 30 °C, and the space velocity in all experiments was 600,000 h<sup>−1</sup>. About 0.1 g catalysts was used for each experiment. The gas flow passing through the reactor was 1000 mL/min, with 800 mL/min N<sub>2</sub> and 200 mL/min O<sub>2</sub>. The relative humidity (RH = 45% and 90%) of the feed gas stream was maintained by change the gas flow through a bubbler, which was with circulated water at a constant temperature (25 °C) using a circulator. The relative humidity was measured using humidity equipment (HMP110, vaisala oyj). The concentration of inlet ozone, which was generated by low-pressure ultraviolet lamps, was 40 ± 2 ppm. Inlet and outlet ozone concentrations were monitored online with an ozone monitor (Model 202, 2 B Technologies). In order to verify uniformity throughout the experiments, the temperature and pressure drop were also recorded at the same time. The activity of the catalyst was calculated on the basis of the following equation:


$$\text{O}_3 \text{ conversion} = \frac{C_{\text{in}} - C_{\text{out}}}{C_{\text{in}}} \times 100\%$$

where C<sub>in</sub> and C<sub>out</sub> are inlet and outlet concentration of ozone respectively. The reproducibility of the catalyst tests were done for Ce-OMS-2 and the data shows that the error bar is less than 3.6%, which confirms the validity of our data.


## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Ozone decomposition performance

The decomposition of ozone was negligible when control experiments were performed without catalysts (Fig. S2). Fig. 1 shows the catalytic activities for ozone decomposition over OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts when relative humidity (RH) was 45%. The



**Fig. 1.** The conversion of ozone on the OMS-2, M-OMS-2 and  $\alpha\text{-MnO}_2$  catalysts at RH = 45%.




**Fig. 2.** The conversion of ozone on the OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts at RH = 90%.

activities of OMS-2 and Ce-OMS-2 were nearly 100% even after 6 h. The Co-OMS-2 catalyst exhibited 60–70% ozone conversion. However, the Fe-OMS-2 material was by far the least active, with a conversion percentage of only 50% after 6 h. These results indicate that the addition of transition metals has a great influence on the activity. A well-known  $\alpha\text{-MnO}_2$  catalyst only exhibited ~40% ozone conversion.


Water vapor, which could compete with ozone for adsorption on the catalyst, had a great impact on the ozone conversion and led to a decrease in catalytic activity. Compared with the OMS-2 catalyst, the Ce-OMS-2 material maintained better ozone conversion performance (~90%) under high relative humidity (Fig. 2), the activity of Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 decreased significantly under high humidity conditions.

### 3.2. The crystal structure of the catalysts

XRD was used to confirm the identity and phase purity of OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 samples. The XRD patterns of OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 materials were in good agreement with the standard tetragonal structure of cryptomelane-type manganese oxide (JCPDS 29-1020) as shown in Fig. 3. The reflections obtained were characteristic of the  $2 \times 2$  tunnel structure of regular OMS-2 [26]. No additional peaks assignable to compounds of the doped metal species appear in the materials, indicating that the doped metal species are well dispersed or incorporated into the structure. The variations in peak



**Fig. 3.** XRD patterns of the OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts.




**Fig. 4.** XPS deconvolution spectra of Ce 3d peak of Ce-OMS-2, Co 2p peak of Co-OMS-2 and Fe 2p peak of Fe-OMS-2.

broadening among the synthesized catalysts revealed differences in crystallinity. The diffraction peaks of Ce-OMS-2 are broadened and decreased in intensity in comparison to the OMS-2 catalyst. However, the Fe-OMS-2 material shows narrow and high intensity peaks, and the Co-OMS-2 pattern is almost the same as that of OMS-2. The addition of Ce resulted in the formation of a poorly crystalline phase for the Ce-OMS-2 catalyst, introducing more defects and enhancing the surface area, which could favor ozone decomposition.

### 3.3. The oxidation state of Ce, Co and Fe in the catalysts

As shown in the XPS results in Fig. 4, the oxidation state of Ce, Co and Fe ions in the catalysts were characterized by analyzing their Ce 3d, Co 2p and Fe 2p spectra. For Ce 3d spectra, six peaks at 916.7, 907.5, 900.8, 898.4, 889, and 882.3 eV can be identified, which are characteristic of the Ce<sup>4+</sup> 3d final state (red), while four peaks at 903.6, 895, 885.7, and 880.5 eV corresponding to the Ce<sup>3+</sup> 3d final state (green) [31–33]. The atomic ratio of Ce<sup>3+</sup>/Ce<sub>total</sub> was estimated from the integrated areas of these peaks to be 30%, indicating most Ce ions exist in the form of Ce<sup>4+</sup>. The Co 2p spectrum exhibits two major peaks at ~780 eV and ~795 eV corresponding to Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub> and Co 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, respectively. Additionally, two shake-up satellite peaks (Sat 1 and Sat 2) were observed at 790.2 eV and 805.3 eV. By curve fitting, the obtained binding energy values were obtained as ~779.8 eV and ~780.5 eV for Co 2p<sub>3/2</sub>, and ~794.8 eV and ~795.6 eV for Co 2p<sub>1/2</sub>, which corresponds to Co<sup>2+</sup> in tetrahedral



**Fig. 5.**  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption/desorption isotherm for OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 at 77 K. The insert shows the pore size distribution plot calculated by the BJH method.

coordination and  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  in octahedral coordination in Co-OMS-2 [34].  $\text{Co}^{3+}/\text{Co}_{\text{total}}$  is around 95%, as calculated from the ratio of the integrated areas of these peaks. These results indicate that most of the Co ions were  $\text{Co}^{3+}$  and distributed in octahedral sites in Co-OMS-2. In the Fe 2p spectra, there are two major peaks, namely  $\text{Fe } 2p_{2/3}$  and  $\text{Fe } 2p_{1/2}$  at  $\sim 710 \text{ eV}$  and  $\sim 725 \text{ eV}$ , and a satellite peak occurs at  $\sim 718 \text{ eV}$  corresponding to the oxidation of  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  [34]. After deconvolution, four peaks at 710.3, 712.2, 723.4, and 724.9 eV were obtained. The peaks at 712.2 and 724.9 eV correspond to  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and are associated with the octahedral site. The peaks at 710.3 and 723.4 eV correspond to  $\text{Fe}^{2+}$  and are associated with the tetrahedral site.  $\text{Fe}^{2+}/\text{Fe}_{\text{total}}$  is around 36%, calculated by the ratio of the integrated areas of these peaks. These results indicate that most of the Fe ions were  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  and distributed in octahedral sites in Fe-OMS-2.

#### 3.4. The effect of Ce, Co and Fe on surface areas and morphology of the catalysts


**Fig. 5** shows  $\text{N}_2$  adsorption-desorption isotherms and corresponding Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) pore-size distribution curves for the M-OMS-2 oxides. The isotherms of OMS-2, Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 show a characteristic type II isotherm pattern, with a hysteresis loop of type H3 in the IUPAC classification, which is usually associated with the adsorption on aggregates of particles with a layered morphology, forming slit-like pores [27]. The results are similar to those previously reported for OMS-2 [12,35,36]. The isotherms show a characteristic type IV isotherm pattern for Ce-OMS-2, with a hysteresis loop of type H2 in the IUPAC classification. At low  $P/P_0$ , the curves for the Ce-OMS-2 material had relatively higher slopes than for the other materials, further indicating its relatively high surface area and lowered degree of crystallinity. This is in agreement with the XRD data. Compared with other M-OMS-2 materials, Ce-OMS-2 shows a relatively narrow pore size distribution, with an average pore diameter of 6.36 nm, and these results are consistent with the FESEM data. The surface areas of OMS-2, Ce-OMS-2, Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 were 137, 200, 115 and  $52 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$ , respectively. The surface area of Ce-OMS-2 is two times higher than that of OMS-2, probably a main factor in the better performance of Ce-OMS-2 material. However, combined with previous research

results [15], the surface area is not the key reason for the differences in apparent activity of the catalysts.

The morphology of as-prepared catalysts was characterized by FESEM and the images are shown in **Fig. 6**. The OMS-2 catalyst displays the typical fibrous morphology with a length from 100 to 150 nm and a diameter of about 10 nm. The addition of Co has little effect on the morphology of the Co-OMS-2 catalyst. However, the Fe-OMS-2 system shows a chunky microstructure. The average length of the particles was reduced from  $\sim 100$ –150 nm to  $\sim 50$  nm. Another notable feature is the expansion of the average width of the particles from  $\sim 10$  nm in OMS-2 to  $\sim 20$  nm in Fe-OMS-2. The morphology of Ce-OMS-2, which has a honeycomb structure, is markedly different from the other M-OMS-2 catalysts. The morphology of the catalysts were confirmed by the TEM (Fig. S3). The formation of this morphology was most likely due to a slight distortion of the tetragonal crystal structure from tetragonal (space group  $I4/m$ ) to monoclinic geometry (space group  $I2/m$ ) [26]. This type of morphology can lead to a large number of adsorption sites in the materials and thus improve the catalytic performance for ozone decomposition. The differences in the morphology may be attributed to the final state of metal species. Since only a single phase could be observed in the XRD results, the possibility of having another metal oxide phase could be ruled out. Another possibility could be that metal species may be inserted into the structure of the M-OMS-2 catalysts during the nucleation and growth processes. During the hydrothermal synthesis process, the additional ions either occupy sites in the framework to replace  $\text{Mn}^{3+}$  and  $\text{Mn}^{4+}$  or in the tunnel sites to replace  $\text{K}^+$ .

#### 3.5. The position of Ce, Co and Fe in the structure and role on ozone decomposition

In order to confirm the position of the added metals in the structure, the bulk contents of K, Mn and the added metal for all the catalysts were determined by ICP-OES. Although the initial weight contents of dopant metal cations in the reactants were the same in the syntheses of different M-OMS-2 materials, the final doped M contents in the prepared materials were different from each other. This is probably because different metal cation dopants have dif-



**Fig. 6.** FESEM images of the OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts.

**Table 1**  
The contents of K, Mn and added metals determined by ICP-OES.


Catalysts	K/Mn + M + K (%)	M/Mn + M + K (%)	Mn/Mn + M + K (%)
OMS-2	6.37	\	93.63
Ce-OMS-2	4.82	8.47	86.71
Co-OMS-2	6.40	8.45	85.15
Fe-OMS-2	6.31	10.05	83.64

ferent physical and chemical properties that affect the substitution of cations into the OMS-2 structure during synthesis. As shown in Table 1, the bulk K/Mn + M + K mass ratio (6.40%) of Co-OMS-2 and the mass ratio (6.31%) of Fe-OMS-2 were almost the same as the K/Mn + M + K actual ratio (6.37%) of the pure OMS-2 catalyst. At the same time, the bulk Mn/Mn + M + K mass ratios of Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 were both lower than that of the OMS-2 material. These results confirmed that the Co<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> species may compete with Mn<sup>3+</sup> and occupy a site in the framework of the M-OMS-2 material. For the Ce-OMS-2 material, the concentrations of K ions and Mn ions were all lower than those in the OMS-2 material, which means that the substitution of both Mn ions in the framework and K<sup>+</sup> in the tunnel sites of OMS-2 by the added cerium took place. This is also supported by the fact that the Mn/Mn + M + K mass ratios in Ce-OMS-2 materials were higher than those in Co-OMS-2 materials. These results were confirmed by the subsequent XAFS data.

In the OMS-2 structure, the potassium cations occupy a special position (000) in the unit cell of cryptomelane and support the tunneled framework. If the smaller Ce<sup>3+</sup> ions (1.14 Å) or Ce<sup>4+</sup> ions (0.87 Å) substituted for the K<sup>+</sup> ions (1.65 Å), the tunnel may be distorted [37,38]. Genuino et al. point out that the ideal tetragonal unit cell can undergo a small structural distortion that lowers the symmetry to the monoclinic space group *I*2/m when large cations

substitute for octahedral Mn<sup>4+</sup> and Mn<sup>3+</sup> in OMS-2 [26]. The special morphology of the Ce-OMS-2 catalyst was most likely due to this type of slight distortion of the unit cell. Considering the crystal radii of Co<sup>2+</sup> (0.79 Å), Co<sup>3+</sup> (0.685 Å), Fe<sup>2+</sup> (high spin, 0.78 Å; low spin, 0.61 Å), and Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0.785 Å), which are significantly lower than that of K<sup>+</sup> (1.65 Å), it is difficult for Co and Fe species to substitute for K ions [11,24,39]. Because the crystal radius of Fe<sup>3+</sup> (0.785 Å) is similar to Mn<sup>4+</sup> (0.67 Å) and Mn<sup>3+</sup> (high spin, 0.785 Å; low spin, 0.72 Å) [25], when Fe enters the octahedral framework of the OMS-2 material, it may cause the observed different particle growth behavior, the morphology of Fe-OMS-2 changed from nanoneedles to nanorods with Fe doping [24]. Considering the crystal radius of Co<sup>3+</sup> (0.685 Å), which is very close to that of Mn<sup>4+</sup>, Co species may reside in the framework sites and form CoO<sub>6</sub> units, and the morphology of Co-OMS-2 was almost the same as that of the OMS-2 catalyst [11].

X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS), including X-ray absorption near edge structure(XANES) and extended X-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS), was used to determine the electronic and structural properties of the catalysts. The EXAFS study of MnO<sub>2</sub> is fairly straightforward since it has only one kind of Mn site. XAFS spectra of the Mn-K edge, including XANES and EXAFS, were measured using Mn foil, MnO, Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MnO<sub>2</sub> as reference samples. As shown in Fig. 7A, the XANES spectra of MnO<sub>2</sub> and Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> were similar and both the pre-edge peaks and the post-edge regions of Mn-K XANES in OMS-2 catalysts were similar to those spectra. Yet, no obvious differences between OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 were observed. Afterwards, to further determine the average valence of Mn species in our catalysts, the first-order derivatives of Mn-K XANES in the series of MnO<sub>x</sub> catalysts were taken and compared with those in the reference samples. As shown in Fig. 7B, the




**Fig. 7.** (A) XANES and (B) first-order derivatives of XANES of MnK-edge in series of MnO<sub>x</sub> catalysts and Mn-containing reference samples.

Mn K-edge absorption energy ( $E_0$ ) of the reference materials was determined to be 6548.4 and 6552.8 eV for Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MnO<sub>2</sub>, respectively. The absorption edge energy of Mn species in OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts was 6551.8 eV, which was slightly less than that in MnO<sub>2</sub>, most probably due to the presence of a small amount of Mn<sup>3+</sup>. The exact amount of Mn<sup>3+</sup> in OMS-2 catalysts calculated by the Rex2000 software was 14.4%, 23.7%, 4.08% and 0% for OMS-2, Ce-OMS-2, Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2, respectively. These results verified that Co<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> replace Mn<sup>3+</sup> and Ce<sup>4+</sup> replaces Mn<sup>4+</sup> in the cryptomelane structure. Linear combination fitting of the XANES spectra of the OMS-2 catalysts with Mn foil, MnO, Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and MnO<sub>2</sub> reference materials showed that the percentage of Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in the catalysts was 7.2, 11.85, 2.04% and 0%, respectively. These results indicate that the electronic and local

structure of our MnO<sub>x</sub> catalysts is similar to that of MnO<sub>2</sub>, which is in good accordance with the XRD results. The content of Mn<sup>3+</sup> was consistent with the activity of the catalysts, which indicates that the high Mn<sup>3+</sup> content is favorable for the catalytic decomposition of ozone. The average oxidation state (AOS) of Mn was estimated base on the following formula: AOS = 8.956 – 1.126ΔEs (eV), where ΔEs is the binding energy difference between the doublet Mn 3 s peaks as shown in Fig. S4 [40]. The results (Table S1) show that the AOS decrease in the order of Fe-OMS-2 > Co-OMS-2 > OMS-2 > Ce-OMS-2, which is consistent with the XANES data mentioned above.

Fig. 8A shows the filtered  $k^3$ -weighted EXAFS oscillations Fourier transformed into R space of the MnK-edge in the series of OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts, and Fig. 8B shows the correspond-



**Fig. 8.** EXAFS spectra of Mn K-edge in series of MnO<sub>x</sub> catalysts: (A) Fourier transforms of filtered  $k^3 \cdot \chi(k)$  into R space, where the red dashed lines correspond to the curve-fitting results; (B) filtered  $k^3 \cdot \chi(k)$  in the k range of 3–12 Å<sup>-1</sup>, where the red dotted lines correspond to the curve-fitting results. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

**Table 2**

Curve-fitting results of Mn K-edge EXAFS in OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts.

Sample	Mn-K Reference	Shell	CN <sup>a</sup>	R <sup>b</sup> (Å)	DW <sup>c</sup> (Å)	R factor (%)
MnO <sub>2</sub>	α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn-OMn-Mn <sub>1</sub> Mn-Mn <sub>2</sub>	5.94.04.0	1.912.863.44	0.0630.0820.032	0.3
OMS-2	α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn-OMn-Mn <sub>1</sub> Mn-Mn <sub>2</sub>	5.64.02.6	1.922.873.40	0.0600.0570.050	2.0
Ce-OMS-2	α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn-OMn-Mn <sub>1</sub> Mn-Mn <sub>2</sub>	5.63.81.9	1.912.863.44	0.0560.0660.051	1.9
Co-OMS-2	α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn-OMn-Mn <sub>1</sub> Mn-Mn <sub>2</sub>	6.44.43.6	1.922.863.43	0.0510.0560.066	1.0
Fe-OMS-2	α-MnO <sub>2</sub>	Mn-OMn-Mn <sub>1</sub> Mn-Mn <sub>2</sub>	6.44.23.6	1.922.873.43	0.0600.0550.067	1.9

<sup>a</sup> CN: coordination number.<sup>b</sup> R: bond distance.<sup>c</sup> DW: Debye-Waller factor.

ing filtered  $k^3 \cdot \chi(k)$  in the  $k$  range of 3–12 Å<sup>-1</sup>. It should be noted that the EXAFS data for the OMS-2 catalysts was modeled based on the structure of MnO<sub>2</sub>, and no contribution from Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> was considered in the fitting of these catalysts. Because of the fact that the highest proportion of MnO<sub>x</sub> in the form of Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> in our OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts is only 11.85%, this fitting is reasonable [41]. The single and multiple scattering paths from the tetragonal cryptomelane structure (space group I4/m) of α-MnO<sub>2</sub> were used as the reference model. The crystal structure of the reference material is listed in Table S2. As also shown in Fig. 7A, due to the residual potassium species in the catalysts, the coordination shells of Mn-O and Mn-Mn in our catalysts were different to a certain extent when compared with those in the MnO<sub>2</sub> standard samples (e.g. the relative peak intensities of Mn-Mn<sub>1</sub> and Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub>). These potassium ions occupied the interstitial sites formed by the stacking of Mn-O octahedrons [42]. From Fig. 8B, we can see that good curve fitting degrees could be obtained between experimental data and theoretical results, and the curve-fitted data are presented in Table 2.

After curve fitting, the reference material (α-MnO<sub>2</sub>) was confirmed to possess Mn-O, Mn-Mn<sub>1</sub> and Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub> coordination shells at ca. 1.91, 2.86 and 3.44 Å with the coordination numbers being 5.9, 4.0 and 4.0, respectively. Moreover, it is clear that not only the first coordination shell of Mn-O but also the second coordination shells of Mn-Mn<sub>1</sub> plus Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub> in OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 are almost the same as those in the MnO<sub>2</sub> reference sample. However, the OMS-2 and Ce-OMS-2 catalysts showed a relatively small coordination number for the Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub> coordination shell, suggesting that these catalysts possessed more abundant surface defects [43]. The Ce-OMS-2 catalyst has the lowest coordination number for the Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub> coordination shell and the best ozone decomposition performance. The coordination number for the Mn-Mn<sub>2</sub> shell of the Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2 catalysts is higher than that of OMS-2, corresponding to the lower activity for ozone decomposition. These results suggest that the surface defects were beneficial to the adsorption and activation of ozone.

Jia et al. [40] found that the catalytic activity of MnO<sub>2</sub> strongly depended on the density of oxygen vacancies. As we know, more Mn<sup>3+</sup> in the manganese dioxide means more oxygen vacancies will be generated. Surface species can be distinguished by the O1s spectra (Fig. 9). The asymmetrical O1s spectra could be deconvoluted to two peaks. The main peak at a binding energy of 529.7 can be assigned to the lattice oxygen (O<sup>2-</sup>) (denoted as O<sub>latt</sub>), and the signal at a binding energy of 531.5 corresponded to the surface adsorbed oxygen with low coordination (denoted as O<sub>sur</sub>). The O<sub>sur</sub>/O<sub>latt</sub> molar ratio decrease in sequence of Ce-OMS-2 (0.61) > OMS-2 (0.49) > Co-OMS-2 (0.43) ~ Fe-OMS-2 (0.44). This result indicates that Ce-OMS-2 has the most abundant surface adsorbed oxygen, which is consistent with its highest amount of Mn<sup>3+</sup> (XANES data), because usually oxygen molecules are adsorbed at the oxygen vacancies of an oxide material. Therefore, the highest activity of Ce-OMS-2 toward ozone decomposition is ascribed to its highest surface defect (surface oxygen vacancies).

H<sub>2</sub>-TPR experiments were performed to investigate the reducibility of the catalysts. The final reduction of MnO<sub>2</sub> could be




Fig. 9. O1s XPS spectra of OMS-2 and M-OMS-2.






Fig. 10. TPR profiles of the OMS-2 and M-OMS-2 catalysts.

MnO with Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> as intermediates [44]. Three principal peaks (peak I–III) were assumed from the TPR analysis (Fig. 10). For OMS-2, Co-OMS-2 and Fe-OMS-2, the ratio of peak II to peak III is about 2:1, which is a typical feature of the reduction of MnO<sub>2</sub>, consistent with conversion of MnO<sub>2</sub> to Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and then Mn<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> to

MnO [45]. For Ce-OMS-2, the ratio of peak II to peak III is about 1:1, which indicate the existence of a different reduction route, that is MnO<sub>2</sub> to Mn<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and then to MnO. Peak I corresponding to the consumption of structural oxygen close to the surface, without decomposition of the material. The reducibility of the catalyst decrease in sequence of Ce-OMS-2 (241)>OMS-2 (254)~Co-OMS-2 (258)>Fe-OMS-2 (271). The reducibility tested by H<sub>2</sub>-TPR could reflect the oxygen mobility in the catalyst: since Ce-OMS-2 shows the reduction peak at the lowest temperature, it was proven to possess the most mobile oxygen species among the four catalysts. Consequently, the high oxygen mobility causes more oxygen to be adsorbed, which was consistent with the O1s results and benefit for the ozone decomposition.

The mixed valency (Mn<sup>4+</sup> and Mn<sup>3+</sup>) in M-OMS-2 catalysts was important for electron transport because the efficiencies of catalysts, especially those serving as catalysts for redox reactions, are usually governed by their ability and tendency to cycle between different valence states of the relevant cationic ions [15]. Ozone decomposition on the surface of M-OMS-2 could be presented by the following reaction scheme:



Abundant Mn<sup>3+</sup> (surface oxygen vacancies) present on the surface of the catalyst could favor the ozone decomposition. Therefore, the higher content of Mn<sup>3+</sup> contributed to the high decomposition of ozone on Ce-OMS-2.

#### 4. Conclusion

Transition metal (Cerium, Cobalt, Iron) doped cryptomelane-type manganese oxides (M-OMS-2) have been successfully synthesized by reaction between metal ions, Mn<sup>2+</sup> and potassium permanganate via a one-step hydrothermal method. Different metal-ion-doped K-OMS-2 catalysts showed distinct differences in their ozone decomposition activity. Ce was found to be beneficial to the activity, but Co and Fe were detrimental to the activity of OMS-2 catalysts. The XRD, TGA, and XPS data suggested that the as-synthesized M-OMS-2 materials were crystalline with no segregated metal oxide impurities. ICP-OES and XAFS results confirmed that Co<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> replace the Mn<sup>3+</sup> in the cryptomelane structure and Ce<sup>4+</sup> mainly replaces the K<sup>+</sup> in the tunnel and partially replaces the Mn<sup>4+</sup> in the framework of the cryptomelane structure. Because of the differences in the substitution sites and the ionic radii, the morphology and the content of Mn<sup>3+</sup> and surface defects of the catalysts were different. The content of Mn<sup>3+</sup> and surface defects play a key role during the decomposition of ozone. Ce-OMS-2 catalysts showed a conversion of 90% under RH = 90% and space velocity of 600,000 h<sup>-1</sup>, and have potential for use in decomposition of ozone under high-humidity conditions.

#### Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (XDB05050600), the Major State Research Development Program of China (2016YFC0207104) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (51221892).

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2016.08.050>.

#### References

- [1] V. Yargeau, C. Leclair, *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 30 (2008) 175–188.
- [2] U. Roland, F. Holzer, F.D. Kopinke, *Appl. Catal. B* 58 (2005) 217–226.
- [3] M.A. Khadre, A.E. Yousef, J.G. Kim, *J. Food Sci.* 66 (2001) 1242–1252.
- [4] J.I. Levy, T.J. Carrothers, J.T. Tuomisto, J.K. Hammitt, J.S. Evans, *Environ. Health Perspect.* 109 (2001) 1215–1226.
- [5] C.J. Weschler, *Indoor Air* 10 (2000) 269–288.
- [6] B. Dhandapani, S.T. Oyama, *Appl. Catal. B* 11 (1997) 129–166.
- [7] I. Spasova, P. Nikolov, D. Mehandjiev, *Ozone Sci. Eng.* 29 (2007) 41–45.
- [8] M.C. Wu, N.A. Kelly, *Appl. Catal. B* 18 (1998) 93–104.
- [9] A. Naydenov, P. Konova, P. Nikolov, F. Klingstedt, N. Kumar, D. Kovacheva, P. Stefanov, R. Stoyanova, D. Mehandjiev, *Catal. Today* 137 (2008) 471–474.
- [10] Q.W. Yu, M. Zhao, Z.M. Liu, X.Y. Zhang, L.M. Zheng, Y.Q. Chen, M.C. Gong, *Chin. J. Catal.* 30 (2009) 1–3.
- [11] L.R. Pahalagedara, S. Dharmarathna, C.K. King'ondu, M.N. Pahalagedara, Y.T. Meng, C.H. Kuo, S.L. Suib, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 118 (2014) 20363–20373.
- [12] J. Liu, Y.C. Son, J. Cai, X.F. Shen, S.L. Suib, M. Aindow, *Chem. Mater.* 16 (2004) 276–285.
- [13] J. Luo, Q. Zhang, J. Garcia-Martinez, S.L. Suib, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 130 (2008) 3198–3207.
- [14] H. Huang, S. Sithambaram, C.-H. Chen, C. King'ondu Kithongo, L. Xu, A. Iyer, H.F. Garces, S.L. Suib, *Chem. Mater.* 22 (2010) 3664–3669.
- [15] C. Wang, J. Ma, F. Liu, H. He, R. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 119 (2015) 23119–23126.
- [16] B.X. Hu, C.H. Chen, S.J. Frueh, L. Jin, R. Joesten, S.L. Suib, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 114 (2010) 9835–9844.
- [17] X. Chen, Y.F. Shen, S.L. Suib, C.L. O'Young, *Chem. Mater.* 14 (2002) 940–948.
- [18] R.H. Wang, J.H. Li, *Catal. Lett.* 131 (2009) 500–505.
- [19] W.Y. Hernandez, M.A. Centeno, F. Romero-Sarria, S. Ivanova, M. Montes, J.A. Odriozola, *Catal. Today* 157 (2010) 160–165.
- [20] X. Tang, Y. Li, J. Chen, Y. Xu, W. Shen, *Micropor. Mesopor. Mater.* 103 (2007) 250–256.
- [21] J. Cai, J. Liu, W.S. Willis, S.L. Suib, *Chem. Mater.* 13 (2001) 2413–2422.
- [22] R.N. Deguzman, Y.F. Shen, E.J. Neth, S.L. Suib, C.L. Oyoung, S. Levine, J.M. Newsam, *Chem. Mater.* 6 (1994) 815–821.
- [23] G.G. Xia, Y.G. Yin, W.S. Willis, J.Y. Wang, S.L. Suib, *J. Catal.* 185 (1999) 91–105.
- [24] X.F. Shen, A.M. Morey, J. Liu, Y.S. Ding, J. Cai, J. Durand, Q. Wang, W. Wen, W.A. Hines, J.C. Hanson, J.M. Bai, A.I. Frenkel, W. Reiff, M. Aindow, S.L. Suib, *J. Phys. Chem. C* 115 (2011) 21610–21619.
- [25] C. Calvert, R. Joesten, K. Ngala, J. Villegas, A. Morey, X.F. Shen, S.L. Suib, *Chem. Mater.* 20 (2008) 6382–6388.
- [26] H.C. Genuino, M.S. Seraji, Y.T. Meng, D. Valencia, S.L. Suib, *Appl. Catal. B* 163 (2015) 361–369.
- [27] M. Sun, L. Yu, F. Ye, G.Q. Diao, Q. Yu, Z.F. Hao, Y.Y. Zheng, L.X. Yuan, *Chem. Eng. J.* 220 (2013) 320–327.
- [28] M. Polverejan, J.C. Villegas, S.L. Suib, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 126 (2004) 7774–7775.
- [29] P.Z. Mingxiao Wang, Jinge Li, Chuanjia Jiang, *Chin. J. Catal.* 35 (2014) 335–341.
- [30] J. Zhang, Y. Li, L. Wang, C. Zhang, H. He, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 5 (2015) 2305–2313.
- [31] J. Hou, Y. Li, M. Mao, X. Zhao, Y. Yue, *Nanoscale* 6 (2014) 15048–15058.
- [32] S. Kato, M. Ammann, T. Huthwelker, C. Paun, M. Lampimaki, M.T. Lee, M. Rothensteiner, J.A. van Bokhoven, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 17 (2015) 5078–5083.
- [33] N.J. Lawrence, J.R. Brewer, L. Wang, T.S. Wu, J. Wells-Kingsbury, M.M. Ihrig, G. Wang, Y.L. Soo, W.N. Mei, C.L. Cheung, *Nano Lett.* 11 (2011) 2666–2671.
- [34] R. Tholakkiyan, K. Vishista, *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 351 (2015) 1016–1024.
- [35] J.C. Villegas, L.J. Garces, S. Gomez, J.P. Durand, S.L. Suib, *Chem. Mater.* 17 (2005) 1910–1918.
- [36] Y.S. Ding, X.F. Shen, S. Sithambaram, S. Gomez, R. Kumar, V.M.B. Crisostomo, S.L. Suib, M. Aindow, *Chem. Mater.* 17 (2005) 5382–5389.
- [37] N. Guo, Y.H. Song, H.P. You, G.A. Jia, M. Yang, K. Liu, Y.H. Zheng, Y.J. Huang, H.J. Zhang, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2010 (2010) 4636–4642.
- [38] T.F. Yi, J.Z. Wu, M. Li, Y.R. Zhu, Y. Xie, R.S. Zhu, *RSC Adv.* 5 (2015) 37367–37376.
- [39] P.F. Poudeu, N. Takas, C. Anglin, J. Eastwood, A. Rivera, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 132 (2010) 5751–5760.
- [40] J.B. Jia, P.Y. Zhang, L. Chen, *Appl. Catal. B* 189 (2016) 210–218.
- [41] E. Rezaei, J. Soltan, N. Chen, *Appl. Catal. B* 136 (2013) 239–247.
- [42] Q.H. Tang, X.N. Huang, C.M. Wu, P.Z. Zhao, Y.T. Chen, Y.H. Yang, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 306 (2009) 48–53.
- [43] F.D. Liu, W.P. Shan, Z.H. Lian, L.J. Xie, W.W. Yang, H. He, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 3 (2013) 2699–2707.
- [44] B. Bai, J. Li, J. Hao, *Appl. Catal. B* 164 (2015) 241–250.
- [45] Z. Qu, Y. Bu, Y. Qin, Y. Wang, Q. Fu, *Appl. Catal. B* 132–133 (2013) 353–362.